

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/734,761	12/13/2000	Cha-Mei Tang	40797	4832	
759	90 01/02/2002				
Joseph J. Buczynski			EXAMINER		
Roylance, Abrai Suite 600	ms, Berdo & Goodman,	L.L.P.	CHURCH, CRAIG E		
1300 19th Street Washington, DC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
		•	DATE MAILED: 01/02/2002	DATE MAILED: 01/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	459 547			
Onice Action Gammary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh	eet beneath the cor	respondence addre	ss—
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE		FROM THE MAILING	DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory fault, expire SIX (6) MONTH	minimum of thirty (30) da S from the mailing date of	ays will be considered tin	
Status ·				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			he merits is closed i	in
Disposition of Claims				
▼ Claim(s) 1-45	is/are pe	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are wit	thdrawn from conside	eration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are all	owed.	
又 Claim(s) 1 ¬ 4 ⋝		is/are rej	jected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are ob	jected to.	
□ Claim(s)			ect to restriction or el	ection
Application Papers		•		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	• •			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		• •		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	bjected to by the Examir	ner.		7
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	ar.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori	•			
 ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie ☐ received. 	s or the phonty documer	its have been		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	ımber)		.	
☐ received in this national stage application from the	•			
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	er No(s)	☐ Interview Summa	ary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		

Serial No. 459,597 Art Unit 2882

Claims 1-30 and 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limiting meaning of projection is unclear. The disclosure does not describe projections but rather a thickening of the walls at wall intersections.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-30 and 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over McGann et al (5263075) cited by applicant. McGann teaches an unfocussed x-ray grid formed of stacked lead layers 38 having round holes 40 therethrough placed along perpendicular intersecting lines (figure 7). The regions adjacent intersecting walls of McGann's grid correspond to applicant's

-3-

Serial No. Art Unit

459,597 2882

"projections".

Claims 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Logan (5418833) cited by applicant. Logan teaches an x-ray grid having nonsquare apertures (figure 4), and lines 58-60 of column 1 explain that such grids are typically moved during imaging.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (703) 308-4861.

Croug & Claur

CRAIG E. CHURCH Senior Examiner ART UNIT 2882